

NO

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Average	Average	N/A
2003 2004	Average	Excellent	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	28	84	76				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	96.3%	82.1%	92.9%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	96.4%	78.0%	79.2%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	67.9%	83.3%	89.2%				

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

Oakland Elementary 1001068

PACT PERFORMANCI	F BY GO							
TACI TERFURMANLI			lested of the	alon Basic	Basic of	Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	ciert and ci
	Emo	94/2 0/0	, ologi	3. 04			0/0/0/0	All c
All - t - l t			Er	igiisii/Lar				
All students	189	99.5	18.3	51.5	28.4	1.8	30.2	17.6
Gender Male	00	00.0	40.4	54.0	07.7	0.4	00.4	47.0
rivale Female	92	98.9	18.1	51.8	27.7	2.4	30.1	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	97	100.0	18.6	51.2	29.1	1.2	30.2	17.6
White	38	97.4	12.9	29.0	51.6	6.5	58.1	17.6
African-American	147	100.0	19.9	55.9	23.5	0.5	24.3	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	1 1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	3	100.0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan					-			
Disability Status	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Not disabled	140	100.0	12.4	51.2	34.1	2.3	36.4	17.6
Disabled	143		37.5	52.5	10.0	2.3 N/A		17.6
Migrant Status	46	97.8	37.5	52.5	10.0	N/A	10.0	17.0
Migrant	NI/A	0.0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	17.6
Non-migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
English Proficiency	189	99.5	15.3	52.2	30.6	1.9	32.5	17.6
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	189	99.5	14.7	52.6	30.8	1.9	32.7	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	109	99.5	14.7	32.0	30.0	1.9	32.1	17.0
Subsidized meals	127	100.0	17.1	56.8	26.1	N/A	26.1	17.6
Full-pay meals	62	98.4	11.8	45.1	37.3	5.9	43.1	17.6
i dii pay modio	02	30.4	11.0	45.1	37.3] 3.9	43.1	17.0
				Mathe	matics			
All students	189	100.0	34.7	48.8	12.4	4.1	16.5	15.5
Gender								
Male	92	100.0	28.6	50.0	16.7	4.8	21.4	15.5
Female	97	100.0	40.7	47.7	8.1	3.5	11.6	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	38	100.0	25.0	43.8	18.8	12.5	31.3	15.5
African-American	147	100.0	37.5	50.0	11.0	1.5	12.5	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	143	100.0	24.8	53.5	16.3	5.4	21.7	15.5
Disabled	46	100.0	65.9	34.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
A	1	1000	040		1 40 4		1 47 6	1 4

Abbreviations for Missing Data

31.2

N/A

31.4

38.7

21.6

51.0

N/A

50.6

46.8

54.9

13.4

N/A

13.5

9.9

19.6

4.5

N/A

4.5

4.5

3.9

17.8

N/A

17.9

14.4

23.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

100.0

0.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

189

N/A

189

127

62

Non-migrant

Full-pay meals

English Proficiency Limited English proficient

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		alle	iel (se	lester al Be	ONL	Basil	Profile	Advan Profice
		Englis	's de la servición de la servi	lester ologi	0/0	0/0	0/0	Advan Profice
				English	/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	81	N/A	20.0	51.3	27.5	1.3	28.8
	Grade 4	110	N/A	19.3	58.7	22.0	N/A	22.0
2002	Grade 5	87	N/A	31.3	61.4	7.2	N/A	7.2
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	82	100.0	22.7	40.0	36.0	1.3	37.3
	Grade 4	107	99.1	14.9	60.6	22.3	2.1	24.5
83	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	81	N/A	35.8	48.1	12.3	3.7	16.0
	Grade 4	110	N/A	30.9	49.1	14.5	5.5	20.0
2002	Grade 5	87	N/A	27.7	45.8	19.3	7.2	26.5
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	82	100.0	33.3	54.7	9.3	2.7	12.0
	Grade 4	107	100.0	35.8	44.2	14.7	5.3	20.0
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
(Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 404)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	2.7%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	95.8%	Down from 96.3%	95.5%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	4.6%	Down from 8.0%	11.6%	13.2%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	13.1%	Up from 9.8%	8.7%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	18.6%	Up from 2.6%	1.3%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 36)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	41.7%	Up from 40.9%	46.4%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	83.3%	Up from 77.3%	85.3%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	77.1%	Down from 81.1%	86.0%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.7%	Down from 96.8%	95.3%	95.3%
	\$38,597	Up 2.9%	\$39,347	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	14.9 days	Up from 11.3 days	12.8 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	18.4 to 1	Up from 17.7 to 1	18.6 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	90.3%	Down from 91.8%	89.5%	89.7%
	\$5,811	Up 19.1%	\$5,876	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	71.8%	Down from 71.9%	66.1%	66.6%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	Up from 97.2%	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	no	N/A	yes	yes
			•	•

+ D.J.		and the st	C	4-4-			a
" Prior	vear	audited	financial	aata	are	reporte	a.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Lighty gualified to above in high neverty cabools	N1/A	N1/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations	for	Miccina	Data
Appreviations	IOL	Missina	บลเล

		J	
N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Oakland Elementary 100

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Oakland Elementary School has a long, rich history of meeting the needs of children in its community. We serve a student population that comes from a wide range of cultural and socio-economic backgrounds. Our school educates students in Early Childhood Development, Hearing Impaired, Orthopedically Impaired, Learning Disabled, Speech, Child Development, and Kindergarten through Fourth Grade classes. Administrators, teachers, and staff make our school a positive place for students, parents, and families in our community. Exemplary community involvement is evidenced through our yearly 100% PTA membership and this year's one hundred seventy (170) certificates given to our parents and community volunteers.

Oakland offers a traditional education focusing on the basics, while enhancing the curriculum with technology, creative arts, and many other programs. Oakland has enriched its academic curriculum by its inclusion in a five school, three year, one million dollar, Arts in Education Grant. The grant has enabled us to infuse drama, dance, visual arts, Suzuki strings, and more into the core curriculum. This better meets students' needs by tapping into their talents and multiple intelligences.

Oakland has received recognition for excellence at the state, local, and national levels. Some of the significant accomplishments include being a National Blue Ribbon School Winner and receiving Exemplary Writing Awards. We have also been recognized by Charleston County: A Community of Readers for our outstanding reading program.

This year we moved into the Average Category in our Improvement Rating. Goals established this year for academic performances are (1) students performing in the proficient and advanced levels on the PACT standardized assessment will continue to increase by 5% and (2) students performing below basic will continue to decrease by a minimum of 5%.

Oakland has a highly skilled staff committed to providing a strong educational program with elevated expectations for student achievement. This year, five of our faculty achieved National Board Certification. "Teaching Tigers to Triumph" is the motto that drives us to furnish an excellent instructional curriculum as well as a safe, friendly, and inviting learning environment.

Earl N. Choice, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.